G. R. S. 59] GPB—15 000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-93
191.
(Judicial)

File No. 210

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Declining to allow exemption from Mohatarfa Cess to certain persons rendering service on the occasion of the Car festival in the temples at Nagamangala

PREVIOUS REFERENCES LATER REFERENCES

(General Serial No 50)

1892. 94/12

From The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Hy ms.

To The Inzrai Superintendent

Dated

Despatched 14 May

Received

Enclosures No. 3,

General No. 2472.
No. 221

Has the honor to transfer the accompanying file of papers to the Inzrai Superintendent for his opinion and remarks and Kindly return.

By order,

Chief Secretary

Refer to Clerk

Petition C No 794/2357 from Tirumalachar and others of Nagamangala, praying for remission of House tax in the case of those required by mamool to render service on occasions of temple car processions &c

This office memo No 2493/12 January 1892 to the Mysore Deputy Commissioner

Deputy Commissioner's report No 737 23rd April 1892

1299 of 1892
152 of 21/7/92
Transfer
No 4516 of 21/7/92

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30)

1892.

From The Inspector ...

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 29th July 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 466

No. 41

His No. 2472 dated 16th May 1892 requesting

22A

to be furnished with ~~5~~ ~~copies~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~under signed~~ ~~letter~~ ~~dated~~ ~~16th May 1892~~ ~~requesting~~ ~~to be furnished with~~ ~~5~~ ~~copies~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~memorandum~~ memorandum of House-tax in the case of the persons ~~these~~ ~~required~~ ~~to~~ render service on occasions of ~~temple~~ car processions in Nagamangala.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward herewith a memo: containing the opinions of the

From the Revenue No 11565-6 dated 29/11/94

Memo.

Subject.

The letter No 73 dated 28th April 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore, in regard to allowing exemption of Mohatarfa tax to certain men rendering Service to Temples in Nagamangala.

(2). The Chief Secretary's Docket No. 2472 dated 14th May 1892 - inviting an expression of opinion of the Mewrai Superintendent on Subject.

I will not advocate the revival of the old practice of exempting men rendering Service to Temples, from the obligation to pay Mohatarfa tax to Government. If the claim is based on a Sanad, it may deserve some consideration; but no Sanad is produced the present case and my effort to trace it the old Records proved unsuccessful. I will attach no value to the alleged old practice, which according to the petitioner's own admissio ceased to be of effect more than 14 years ago. I is not desirable to revive it after this lapse time, as the custom, even if it did exist, is

is open to objection on principle and will be attended with much inconvenience in practice, as it is likely to become a precedent.

2. The alleged Service to the Temple consists chiefly in rendering help on the occasion of car processions. I am opposed to the use of huge cars in the Muzrai Temples, as it is often attended with much risk to human life.

3. When applications are received for Government Sanction to renew old cars, instructions are now being issued in the Muzrai Department to reduce their size so that the Temple Servants themselves may drag them without indenting upon extraneous help. Thus, in course of time, I hope, large cars will be out of use.

4. The Deputy Commissioner observes, that owing to the prevailing high prices the Thasdiks originally granted to the Temples are found inadequate and the Temple Funds will not permit of cash payments to men rendering occasional Service to the Temples - such as dragging cars &c. This may be a good reason to increase the Thasdiks by certain per centage if the Government see fit to do so, rather than revive an objectionable practice of granting permission of Government Cess to certain Class of men rendering Service to Temples.

(Draft).

Muzrai Superintendent

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894-5

MUZARAI.

From The Chief Secretary

To The Muzrai Superintendent

Dated 21st November 1894.

Despatched 28/1/95

Received 29/1

Enclosures No.

General No. 11565-6
Muzrai F. No. 69-94

Stating that the exemption of house tax recommended by the Deputy Commissioner cannot be sanctioned and the Muzrai Supte should make suitable arrangements for getting the service performed.

To D. C. Mysore No 916 of 24/2/96

5 Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:-

(1) Petition No. 794 of 1891-92 presented by Sivunnalachar and other residents of Nagamangala.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 1236 dated 3rd May 1894 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Order thereon No. 11565-6
Pet. F. 69-94
Dated Bangalore 21st November/94
28/4/95

Under the orders conveyed in the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 1301-12 R. 149 dated 23rd July 1878, house tax was imposed on the Ganiga, Banajiga, Kumbar, Agasa and Hajam classes in the town of Nagamangala, who till then had been exempted from it, in consideration of their rendering certain services in three important temples at Nagamangala. When the Dewan visited Nagamangala, the inhabitants interested in the temples, prayed for the remission of the tax in the case of the first three classes above referred to, who had ceased to render any service. Remission is not asked for the other two classes, though they are still rendering service in the Pagodas, apparently on account of some perquisites

they enjoy.

The Provincial Superintendent does not support the Petitioner's prayer, as no Sannad conferring the privilege has been produced, and as there would be less need for the services of the men in question in future, when large cars are, as they get out of order, replaced by small ones. He further apprehends that there would be practical inconvenience in giving effect to the exemption claimed and prefers the existing Jaedika of the Pagodas being supplemented by additional grants to admit of their paying for the services rendered. The Deputy Commissioner is however of the opinion that the grass gratuitous services referred to will, if valued in money, greatly exceed the cost of the immunity sought, and recommends that a limited number of each class to be exempted from the tax in view to their rendering the services require He also sends copies of an order issued by Dewan Purnaiya in 1801-2 sanctioning the exemption, and of an order issued by the Superintendent Ashtagram Division in 1862, recognising the ancient custom

under which immunity was enjoyed by the classes in question.

3. Government observe that Wanganamangala is a Municipality which now enjoys the whole Mohaturfa. No class privileges are allowed in Municipalities. The exemption recommended by the Deputy Commissioner cannot be sanctioned. The Muwarai Superintendent should make suitable arrangements for getting the service performed.

Chief Secretary

To
The Deputy Commissioner
of Jaffna
" Muwarai Superintendent
with reference to his letter
No 460/41 of 29th July/92

Copy
SDA

Gen. 30.]

1896

MUZRAI.

From

To The Dy. Commr
Mysore District.

Dated 24th Feb. 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 916 R
Muzrai F. No.

Adverting to Govt Pro. No.
11565-6 dated 21st Nov. 94
Rct 769-94
declining to allow exemption
from Mohatarfa Cess to
certain persons rendering
Service on the occasion of the
car festival in the temples
at Nagamangala, has the
honor to state that consider-
ing the great risk to
human life attending upon
the use of ~~large~~ huge cars,
it is considered expedient
to discourage the use of
such heavy cars as much
as

From the same No 1385-9/28/5/96

as possible

therefore applications are made to Govt. for sanction to renew old cars of large size, instructions are issued to have cars of smaller size prepared, so that the temple servants themselves may drag them without indenting upon extraneous labor & help.

2. The Dy. Commr is requested to report upon the present condition of the cars now in use in the Nagamangala temples, and if so what the last car festival was managed, how and if of last year, it was found necessary to hire any extraneous labor help to drag the Car them and if so, what. extent was the Cost

Broader

As

Mr. Lewis

Read

No. 129
Enclosures No. —

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
MYSORE DISTRICT
Dated Camp Gedagal 28th May 1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Munzai Department

SIR,

In reply to your docket No 916 dated the 24th February 1896 enquiring about the present condition of the car now in use in the temples at Nagamangala, I beg to state that the car now in use is of a moderate size that it is in serviceable condition, that no cost was incurred in dragging it during the festival of the past and present years and that the devotees did that work voluntarily

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Read

Govt Pro No 11565–6
Ret 7 69-94

dated 21st Nov 94, declining to allow exemption from Mohatarpa tax to certain persons rendering service on the occasion of the car festival in the temples at Hagamangala and observing that suitable arrangements for getting the service performed should be made ~~by the Adhigarai~~ ~~Superintendent~~ Superintendent.

Read, Letter No 1385 dated the 28th May 96, from the ~~Dy~~ Court ~~of~~ Hagamangala reporting that the car now in use is of a moderate size, that it is in serviceable condition and that no ~~cost~~ labor hired was ~~incurred~~ to drag ~~ging~~ it during the

the festivals of the past and present years, the devotees having done that work voluntarily.

Order thereon:

The D.Y. com'r's letter read above is recorded with the remark that no further action in the matter is called for.

No. 1222 99-6-96

Mng. Secretary.

To.

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.